

Copyright © 1963, by the author(s).
All rights reserved.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission.

Electronics Research Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

ON AN AXIS-CROSSING PROPERTY OF GAUSSIAN NOISE

by

E. Wong

This work was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research of the Office of Aerospace Research; the Department of the Army, Army Research Office; and the Department of the Navy, Office of Naval Research, under Grant No. AF-AFOSR-139-63.

July 19, 1963

ON AN AXIS-CROSSING PROPERTY OF GAUSSIAN NOISE *

by

E. Wong **

I. INTRODUCTION

This note reports a result concerning the distribution of intervals between successive zeros of Gaussian noise. Let $x(t)$ be a zero-mean stationary Gaussian process, with covariance function of the form

$$E x(t) x(t + \tau) = \rho(\tau) = 1 - \frac{t^2}{2!} + \frac{at^3}{3!} + O(t^4) \quad (1)$$

Let ξ be a random variable denoting an interval between two successive zeros of $x(t)$. The problem of finding the probability distribution of ξ is of considerable interest and remains unsolved. (For further references and detailed discussions, see Refs. 5 and 6.)

Let $F(\tau) = \text{Prob } \xi < \tau$ be the distribution function of ξ , and $q(\tau) = \frac{dF(\tau)}{d\tau}$ be the density function. It has been shown² that $q(0^+) = 0$ if $a = 0$, but $q(0^+)$ is different from zero if a is non-zero. The exact value of $q(0^+)$ is hitherto not known, although very good bounds have been given by Longuet-Higgins.² In this note we propose a value for $q(0^+)$. While it is not proved that the proposed value is exact, the fact that it lies within the close bounds given by Longuet-Higgins and the way that it is derived lend credibility to its being so.

* This work was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research of the Office of Aerospace Research; the Department of the Army, Army Research Office; and the Department of the Navy, Office of Naval Research, under Grant No. AF-AFOSR-139-63.

** Dept. of Electrical Engineering and Electronics Research Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, Calif.

II. TWO-DIMENSIONAL GAUSS-MARKOFF PROCESS

We consider a zero-mean Gaussian process $x(t)$ with covariance function

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t) &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}at} \left(\cos \beta t + \frac{a}{2\beta} \sin \beta t \right), \left(\beta = \sqrt{1 - \frac{a^2}{4}} \right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{t^2}{2!} + \frac{at^3}{3!} + O(t^4) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

It is clear that $\rho(\tau)$ has the form given by (1). In addition, (2) also implies that $x(t)$ and its derivative $\dot{x}(t)$ are the components of a two-dimensional Gauss-Markoff process.⁷ This Markoffian property is used below in deriving a set of integral equations.

We define $\psi(x_0, \dot{x}_0, t)$ to be the conditional probability that $x(\tau)$ has no zero-crossing in $0 < \tau < t$ given $x(0) = x_0$ and $\dot{x}(0) = \dot{x}_0$, ($x_0 > 0$). Let $p(x, \dot{x} | x_0, \dot{x}_0, t)$ be the conditional probability density function, * i. e.,

$$p(x, \dot{x} | x_0, \dot{x}_0, t) dx d\dot{x} = \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Prob} [x(t) \in (x, x + dx), \dot{x}(t) \in (\dot{x}, \dot{x} + d\dot{x}) | x(0) = x_0, \dot{x}(0) = \dot{x}_0]$$

By considering $x(\tau)$ at its last zero-crossing in the interval $(0, t)$ and using the Markoffian property of $x(\tau)$ and $\dot{x}(\tau)$, we find that for $x_0 < 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty dx \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\dot{x} p(x, \dot{x} | x_0, \dot{x}_0, t) = \\ &\int_0^t d\tau \int_0^\infty dx |\dot{x}| p(0, \dot{x} | x_0, \dot{x}_0, \tau) \psi(0^+, \dot{x}, t-\tau) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

similarly for $x_0 > 0$

*For an exact expression of $p(x, \dot{x} | x_0, \dot{x}_0, t)$, see Ref. 7.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x_0, \dot{x}_0, t) &= \int_0^\infty dx \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\dot{x} p(x, \dot{x} | x_0, \dot{x}_0, t) \\ &- \int_0^t d\tau \int_0^\infty d\dot{x} |\dot{x}| p(0, \dot{x} | x_0, \dot{x}_0, \tau) \quad (0^+, \dot{x}, t-\tau) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

These integral equations (4) and (5) are closely related to those given by Siegert⁴ and Helstrom.¹ The quantity $p(0, \dot{x} | x_0, \dot{x}_0, t) |\dot{x}| dt d\dot{x}$ in (4) and (5) is the probability that $x(\tau)$ has a zero for $\tau \in (t, t + dt)$ with $\dot{x}(t) = \dot{x}$ given $x(0) = x_0$ and $\dot{x}(0) = \dot{x}_0$.³ The probability $P(t)$ that $x(\tau) > 0, \tau \in (0, t)$ is clearly given by

$$\begin{aligned} P(t) &= \int_0^\infty dx_0 \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\dot{x}_0 p(x_0, \dot{x}_0) \varphi(x_0, \dot{x}_0, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty dx_0 \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\dot{x}_0 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x_0^2 + \dot{x}_0^2)} \varphi(x_0, \dot{x}_0, t) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

With the use of (4) and (5) in (6), we find

$$\begin{aligned} P(t) &= \frac{1}{2} - \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \dot{x} p(0, \dot{x}) \varphi(0^+, \dot{x}, \tau) d\dot{x} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \dot{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\dot{x}^2} \varphi(0^+, \dot{x}, \tau) d\dot{x} d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The functions $F(\tau)$ and $q(\tau)$ are easily related to $P(t)$ (see, for example, Ref. 5, Section 1.7). We have

$$1 - F(t) = -2\pi \frac{d}{dt} P(t) \quad (8)$$

$$\text{and } q(t) = 2\pi \frac{d^2}{dt^2} P(t) \quad (9)$$

If the integral equations (4) and (5) can be solved for $\varphi(0^+, \dot{x}, t)$, then $F(t)$, $P(t)$ and $q(t)$ for this case are completely determined.

III. LIMITING BEHAVIOR OF $q(t)$

Although $\varphi(0^+, \dot{x}, t)$ has not been found, its behavior for t near zero can be estimated. First of all, $\varphi(0^+, \dot{z}, t)$ has the following properties:

$$\varphi(0^+, \dot{x}, t) = 0 \quad , \quad \dot{x} < 0, \quad t > 0$$

$$\varphi(0^+, \dot{x}, 0) = 1$$

and for small t

$$\lim_{\dot{x} \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(0^+, \dot{x}, t) = 1$$

Now, by setting $x_0 = 0^+$ in (5), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(0^+, \dot{x}_0, t) &= \int_0^\infty dx \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\dot{x} \, p(x, \dot{x} | 0^+, \dot{x}_0, t) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t d\tau \int_0^\infty dx \, \dot{x} \, p(0, \dot{x} | 0^+, \dot{x}_0, \tau) \varphi(0^+, \dot{x}, t-\tau) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

For small t , the first term on the right-hand side of (10) is approximately

$$\int_0^\infty dx \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\dot{x} \, p(x, \dot{x} | 0^+, \dot{x}_0, t) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2at} x_0}}^\infty e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} dz \quad (11)$$

While as $t \rightarrow 0$ $\varphi(0^+, \dot{x}_0, t)$ does not behave exactly as

$\int_0^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \dot{p}(x, \dot{x} | 0^+, x_0, t)$, its behavior is not likely to be

drastically different. Considerations of this and the properties of $\varphi(0^+, x_0, t)$ suggest the approximation

$$\varphi(0^+, \dot{x}_0, t) \cong \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{\frac{a x_0}{\sqrt{t}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} dz, \dot{x}_0 > 0 \quad (12)$$

a being a parameter to be determined.

To proceed, we multiply both sides of (4) by

$$p(x_0, \dot{x}_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x_0^2 + \dot{x}_0^2)} \quad \text{and integrate over } -\infty < x_0 < 0$$

and $-\infty < \dot{x}_0 < \infty$. This results in

$$\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sin^{-1} \rho(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^{\infty} \dot{x} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \varphi(0^+, \dot{x}, t-\tau) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{r(\tau)\dot{x}}{\sqrt{\sigma(\tau)}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} dz \right) dx d\tau \quad (13)$$

where

$$r(t) = \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-\frac{at}{2}} \sin \beta t \quad \left(\beta = \sqrt{1 - \frac{a^2}{4}} \right) \quad (14)$$

$$\sigma(t) = 1 - \rho^2(t) - r^2(t) \quad (15)$$

and $\rho(t)$ is given by (2).

Using the expression (12) for $\varphi(0^+, \dot{x}, t)$ in (13) and expanding both sides in powers of t , we find after some tedious but routine integration,

(21)

$$.1911 a > q(0^+) < .203 a$$

the bounds given by Longuet-Higgins being

≈ .198a----

$$= \frac{\left[\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} + (3)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \right]}{a}$$

(20)

$$q(0^+) = \frac{2a}{1}$$

Hence, from (9) and (18), we find

(19)

$$P(t) = \frac{2}{1} - \frac{2\pi}{1} t + \frac{4\pi}{1} \frac{t^2}{2} + o(t^3)$$

Using (12) in (7), we find that for small t $P(t)$ is given by

(18)

$$a = \frac{\sqrt{a}}{1} \left[\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{2} (3)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \right]$$

The only positive real root of (17) is

(17)

$$\frac{3}{a} = \frac{a}{1} - \frac{2 \left(a + \sqrt{\frac{2a}{3}} \right)}{1}$$

Thus, a must satisfy the equation:

(16)

$$\frac{1}{1} - \frac{2\pi}{1} t + \frac{4\pi}{1} \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{3}{a} \frac{t^3}{3} + o(t^3) = \left\{ \frac{2}{a} + \frac{2\pi}{1} t + \left[\frac{6}{a} + \frac{2a}{1} \frac{t^2}{2} - \frac{4 \left(a + \sqrt{\frac{2a}{3}} \right)}{1} \frac{t^3}{3} \right] \right\} + o(t^3)$$

Since powers of t in $p(t)$ higher than the third do not enter into the calculation for $q(0^+)$, the result applies not only to the two-dimensional Markoff case but applies in general to any Gaussian process with covariance function of the form of (1).

REFERENCES

1. Helstrom, C. W., "The Number of Crossings of a Gaussian Stochastic Process," IRE Trans. on Inf. Th., IT-3, pp. 232-237 (1957).
2. Lonquet-Higgins, M. S., "The Distributions of Intervals Between Zeros of a Stationary Random Function," Phil. Trans. of Royal Society of London, 254, pp. 557-599 (1962).
3. Rice, S. O., "Mathematical Analysis of Random Noise," Bell Sys. Tech. J., 24, pp. 46-156 (1945).
4. Siegert, A. J. F., "On the First Passage Time Probability Problem," Phys. Rev., 81, pp. 617-623 (1951).
5. Slepian, D., "The One-Sided Barrier Problem for Gaussian Noise," Bell Sys. Tech. J., 41, pp. 463-501 (1962).
6. Slepian, D., "On the Zeros of Gaussian Noise," to be published.
7. Wang, M. C., and Uhlenbeck, G. E., "On the Theory of the Brownian Motion II," Rev. Mod. Phys., 17, pp. 323-342 (1945).