

Copyright © 1964, by the author(s).
All rights reserved.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission.

Electronics Research Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

DISCUSSION:

ON THE ABSOLUTE STABILITY OF SAMPLED-
DATA SYSTEMS

'THE INDIRECT CONTROL CASE' BY
G. P. SZEGÖ AND J. B. PEARSON, JR.

by

E. I. Jury

B. W. Lee

This research was supported by the Air Force Office of
Scientific Research Contract AF-AFOSR 292-63.

DISCUSSION

On the Absolute Stability of Sampled-Data Systems,*

'The Indirect Control Case' by G. P. Szegő and J. B. Pearson, Jr.

The Lure's problem associated with the class of nonlinear sampled data control systems containing a memoryless gain element and direct control linear has been treated, as mentioned in this paper, by Kalman and Szegő¹ and Szegő.² Further, the sufficient conditions for stability of these systems with both direct and indirect control linear parts, were recently presented by Jury and Lee^{3, 4} using Popov's method.⁵

The main contribution of this paper lies in the inclusion of at most one integral in the plant, i. e., indirect control case, and the proof of Theorem 3. Both of these two extensions are of much use and in particular the latter theorem completes the necessary part of the Lure's problems for this class of nonlinear discrete systems which was not discussed in Ref. 2.

In the introductory part of the paper, the authors had implied that the subclasses A_2 and A_3 of their systems are the same as the systems of Γ_1 considered by Jury and Lee.³ This implication is not quite accurate, since the conditions which specify the subclasses A_2 and A_3 are given by Eq. (2) of the paper as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \phi(0) = 0, \\ \text{(b)} \quad & 0 < \phi(\sigma) \leq k\sigma^2, \\ \text{(c)} \quad & 0 < \frac{d\phi}{d\sigma} < \mu, \\ \text{(d)} \quad & \frac{d\phi}{d\sigma} \leq k; \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

* This research was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research Contract AF-AFOSR 292-63.

whereas the corresponding specifications for the subclass Γ_1 considered by Jury and Lee are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{(a)} \quad \phi(0) = 0, \\
 & \text{(b)} \quad 0 < \sigma \phi(\sigma) \leq k \sigma^2, \\
 & \text{(c)} \quad \left| \frac{d\phi}{d\sigma} \right| < \mu, \qquad (2') \\
 & \text{(d)} \quad k \leq \mu.
 \end{aligned}$$

First it may be noted that condition (2d) appears to be inconsistent with conditions (2b) and (2c). Secondly, the difference between conditions (2c) and (2'd) is clearly significant. For example, a system with a nonlinear gain function which has both positive and negative values of slopes is included in the subclass Γ_1 but would be excluded by the conditions specified for subclasses A_2 and A_3 . This would therefore imply that subclass Γ_1 is in fact more general than A_2 and A_3 . Inasmuch as the same frequency domain criterion obtained by Jury and Lee in Ref. 3 for the absolute stability of systems in Γ_1 also appears as the main premise of both Theorems 1 and 2 of the present paper, it appears that these theorems are unnecessarily restrictive.

Upon closer inspection of steps leading to these theorems, the crucial step which requires application of conditions (2a) to (2c) is inequality (5) of the present paper. However, as was pointed out in Refs. 3 and 6, a combined application of the well-known Theorem of the Mean and condition (2'b) would yield the result that inequality (5) is valid not only for functions specified by conditions (2a) to (2d) but also for functions specified by conditions (2'a) to (2'd). This conclusion furthermore can be established for positive or negative real values of δ^* . (See Ref. 6.) Theorems 1 and 2 therefore could have been established for the more general subclass Γ_1 of systems.

* The symbol δ in present paper is equivalent to the parameter q in Refs. 3 and 6.

Another point which requires clarification is that inequality (15) appears to be missing from premises of both Theorems 1 and 2. Yet in the steps leading to these theorems inequality (15) appears to play an important role. Unless this inequality can be shown to be redundant in the sense that it is implied by inequality (14), inequality (15) should have been included in the premises of these theorems.

E. I. Jury, University of California
Berkeley, California

B. W. Lee, Noller Control Systems, Inc.
Richmond, California

REFERENCES

1. G. P. Szegö and R. E. Kalman, "Sur la stabilite absolue d'un systeme d'equations aux difference finies," Comptro Rend. Acad. Sci. (Paris), p. 256; 1963.
2. G. P. Szegö, "On the absolute stability of sampled-data control systems," Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., September 1963.
3. E. I. Jury and B. W. Lee, On the stability of a certain class of nonlinear sampled-data systems, "IEEE Trans., PGTAC; January 1964.
4. ———, "A limiting case for absolute stability criterion," University of California, Berkeley, Electronics Research Laboratory Internal Technical Memorandum No. 41; January 1964.
5. V. M. Popov, "Absolute stability of nonlinear systems of automatic control," Aut. i. Telemekh.; Vol. 22, p. 961-979; 1961.
6. E. I. Jury and B. W. Lee, "A note on the absolute stability of a class of nonlinear sampled-data systems," University of California, Berkeley, Electronics Research Laboratory Internal Memorandum No. 42; January 1964. (Submitted for publication to IEEE Trans, PGTAC, January 1964.