

Copyright © 1966, by the author(s).
All rights reserved.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission.

SIMPLIFIED CONDITIONS FOR CONTROLLABILITY AND
OBSERVABILITY OF LINEAR TIME-INVARIANT SYSTEMS

by

C. T. Chen and C. A. Desoer

ERL Technical Memorandum M-152

21 February 1966

ELECTRONICS RESEARCH LABORATORY

College of Engineering
University of California, Berkeley
94720

Let the linear time-invariant systems under consideration be described either by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\underline{x}} = \underline{A}\underline{x} + \underline{B}\underline{u} \\ \underline{y} = \underline{C}\underline{x} + \underline{D}\underline{u} \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \underline{x}_{i+1} = \underline{A}\underline{x}_i + \underline{B}\underline{u}_i \\ \underline{y}_{i+1} = \underline{C}\underline{x}_{i+1} + \underline{D}\underline{u}_{i+1} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

(where \underline{A} , \underline{B} , \underline{C} and \underline{D} are constant $n \times n$, $n \times p$, $r \times n$ and $r \times p$ matrices, respectively). Then it is well known that any state is reachable from the origin in a finite time if and only if the rank of the matrix

$$\underline{P}_n \triangleq [\underline{B} : \underline{A}\underline{B} : \dots : \underline{A}^{n-1}\underline{B}]$$

is equal to n [1, 2]. Observe that this statement does not assume that \underline{A} is nonsingular. In the following we shall not assume that \underline{A} is nonsingular.

We use the following notation: if \underline{P} is a matrix, $\rho(\underline{P})$ denotes the rank of \underline{P} and $\mathcal{R}(\underline{P})$ the range of \underline{P} . We also recall the fact that the rank of a matrix is equal to the dimension of its range [3].

The research reported herein was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant GK-569 and by the Joint Services Electronics Program (Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Army Research Office, Office of Naval Research) under Grant AF-AFOSR-139-65.

To check controllability in many instances one need not calculate \underline{P}_n but only a matrix with a smaller number of columns. This is based on the following.

Assertion. If k is the least integer such that

$$\rho(\underline{P}_k) = \rho(\underline{P}_{k+1}) \triangleq f \leq n$$

then, for all integers $s \geq k$,

$$\rho(\underline{P}_s) = \rho(\underline{P}_k)$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}(\underline{P}_s) = \mathcal{R}(\underline{P}_k).$$

Thus in order to check controllability one needs only calculate \underline{P}_k and check its rank.

Proof. By assumption, $\mathcal{R}(\underline{P}_k)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\underline{P}_{k+1})$ have the same dimension f . Observing that the columns of any matrix span its range and that all the columns of \underline{P}_k are in \underline{P}_{k+1} , we conclude that the subspaces $\mathcal{R}(\underline{P}_k)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\underline{P}_{k+1})$ are identical. For simplicity call \mathcal{R} this subspace.

We now assert $\underline{A}\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{R}$, where by $\underline{A}\mathcal{R}$ we denote the image of \mathcal{R} under the linear transformation \underline{A} . Indeed, if $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{R}$ then \underline{x} is some linear combination of columns of \underline{P}_k ; multiplying on the left by \underline{A} , we see that $\underline{A}\underline{x}$ is a linear combination of columns of \underline{P}_{k+1} and, hence, $\underline{A}\underline{x} \in \mathcal{R}$.

Clearly then for any integer $s \geq k$, the columns of $\underline{A}^s \underline{B}$ are vectors in \mathcal{R} and since all the columns of \underline{P}_k are columns of \underline{P}_s it follows then that $\mathcal{R}(\underline{P}_k) = \mathcal{R}(\underline{P}_s)$.

Corollary. Let r be the rank of \underline{B} and k be defined as in the previous assertion. Let n_0 be the degree of the minimal polynomial of \underline{A} , then

$$k \leq \min(n - r + 1, n_0) \quad (2)$$

Proof. $k \leq n_0$ because \underline{A}^{n_0} is a linear combination of $\underline{I}, \underline{A}, \dots, \underline{A}^{n_0-1}$. $k \leq n - r + 1$ because each time a block of the form $\underline{A}^m \underline{B}$ is added to \underline{P}_{m-1} ($m < k$), the dimension of the range is increased by at least one.

The inequality (2) is not the best possible as is shown by the following example.

$$\underline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \underline{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here $n = 4$, $n_0 = 2$, $r = 1$, hence $\min(4, 2) = 2$. However $k = 1$ and $f = 1$.

Observability. Invoking the duality theorem of Kalman, we conclude that either system described by (1) is completely observable if and only if $\rho(\underline{O}_k) = n$ where

$$\underline{O}_m \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \underline{C}^* : \underline{A}^* \underline{C}^* : \dots : \underline{A}^{*(m-1)} \underline{C}^* \end{bmatrix} \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

and k is the least integer such that

$$\rho(\underline{O}_k) = \rho(\underline{O}_{k+1}) .$$

References

1. R. E. Kalman, "On the General Theory of Control," Proc. First I.F.A.C. Conference, Butterworths, London, 1961.
2. L. A. Zadeh, C. A. Desoer, "Linear System Theory," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963.
3. F. R. Gantmacher, "The Theory of Matrices," Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, N. Y., 1959 (see p. 64).