

Copyright © 1967, by the author(s).
All rights reserved.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission.

RIEMANN-STIELTJES APPROXIMATIONS
OF STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS

by

E. Wong

M. Zakai

Memorandum No. ERL-M207

5 January 1967

ELECTRONICS RESEARCH LABORATORY

College of Engineering
University of California, Berkeley
94720

Riemann-Stieltjes Approximations of Stochastic Integrals

by

E. Wong and M. Zakai
University of California, Berkeley
and Technion, Haifa, Israel

1. Introduction

Let $x(\omega, t)$ $t \geq 0$ be a separable Brownian motion defined on a fixed, but as yet unspecified, probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P})$. Because a Brownian motion is almost surely of unbounded variation, integrals of the form

$$(1) \quad I(\Phi) = \int_0^1 \Phi(\omega, t) d_t x(\omega, t)$$

require special definition. One definition, and until recently the only definition, is that due to Ito, and will be referred to as the stochastic integral in this paper. The definition of a stochastic integral proceeds as follows: [1, Chap. 9, 2 Chap. 7].

The research reported herein was supported in part by the U. S. Army Research Office -- Durham under Grant DA-ARO-D-31-124-G 776.

Let $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfy

(A) Φ is a (ω, t) function measurable with respect to $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ and for each t $\Phi(\cdot, t)$ is \mathcal{A}_t measurable, where \mathcal{A}_t is the smallest sub- σ -algebra of ω sets with respect to which $\{x(\omega, s), s \leq t\}$ are all measurable, and \mathcal{B} is the σ -algebra of one-dimensional Lebesgue measurable sets.

$$(B) \quad \int_0^1 |\Phi(\omega, t)|^2 dt < \infty \text{ for almost all } \omega$$

or

$$(B') \quad \int_0^1 E |\Phi(\omega, t)|^2 dt < \infty.$$

The stochastic integral is first defined for Φ functions which are step functions in t for almost all ω by the Riemann sum

$$(2) \quad I(\Phi) = \sum_{\nu=1}^N \Phi_{\nu}(\omega) (x(\omega, t_{\nu+1}) - x(\omega, t_{\nu})).$$

For more general Φ , let Φ_n be a sequence of step functions such that

$$\int_0^1 |\Phi(\omega, t) - \Phi_n(\omega, t)|^2 dt \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \text{ almost all } \omega$$

or

$$\int_0^1 \mathbb{E} |\Phi(\omega, t) - \Phi_n(\omega, t)|^2 dt \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$$

according to whether (B) or (B') is satisfied. The stochastic integral $I(\Phi)$ is then defined as the limit in probability (resp. limit in quadratic mean) of $I(\Phi_n)$.

While the definition of a stochastic integral is entirely self-consistent, it need not have any connection with ordinary integrals. Indeed, as is shown by the familiar example [1, p. 444].

$$(3) \quad \int_0^1 x(\omega, t) d_t x(\omega, t) = \frac{1}{2} [x^2(\omega, 1) - x^2(\omega, 0)] - \frac{1}{2},$$

a calculus based on the stochastic integral cannot be entirely compatible with that corresponding to ordinary integrals which must surely yield $\int_0^1 x(t) dx(t) = \frac{1}{2} [x^2(1) - x^2(0)]$. These considerations motivated Stratonovich [3] to suggest a symmetrized definition for (1), which resulted in a calculus compatible with ordinary calculus. In a similar vein we have suggested in earlier papers [4, 5] that in applications one is frequently concerned with the limit of a sequence of Riemann-Stieltjes integrals resembling a stochastic integral but with a sequence of "smooth" approximations $\{x_n(\omega, t)\}$ replacing the Brownian motion $x(\omega, t)$. It was found that this limit, when it exists, differs in general from the stochastic integral having the same form. For example, if $\{x_n(\omega, t)\}$ have piecewise continuous t

derivatives, then clearly

$$\int_0^1 x_n(\omega, t) d_t x_n(\omega, t) = \frac{1}{2} [x_n^2(\omega, 1) - x_n^2(\omega, 0)]$$

$$\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} [x^2(\omega, 1) - x^2(\omega, 0)]$$

which differs from (3) by a "correction term" equal to $1/2$. These earlier papers [4, 5] established the relationship between the limits of such sequences of Riemann-Stieltjes integrals and the corresponding stochastic integrals. However, these results as well as those of Stratonovich [3] were restricted to two special cases:

(a) $\Phi(\omega, t) = F(x(\omega, t), t)$

(b) $\Phi(\omega, t) = F(y(\omega, t), t)$, and $y(\omega, t)$ is a diffusion process process related to $x(\omega, t)$ through a stochastic differential equation.

This paper extends the results of [4, 5] in considering more general integrands $\Phi(\omega, t)$, while retaining the idea of approximating the Brownian motion by differentiable processes. It will be shown that the "correction term" between the limit of a sequence of Riemann-Stieltjes integrals and the corresponding stochastic integral can be expressed in terms of the Fréchet differential of $\Phi(\cdot, t)$. In those special cases where the earlier results [3, 4, 5] apply, results of this paper reduce accordingly.

2. A Statement of the Problem

For integrands of the form $\Phi(\omega, t) = F(x(\omega, t), t)$ or $\Phi(\omega, t) = F(y(\omega, t), t)$, an approximation of $x(\omega, t)$ by $x_n(\omega, t)$ induces automatically an approximation $\Phi^{(n)}(\omega, t) = F(x_n(\omega, t), t)$ or $\Phi^{(n)}(\omega, t) = F(y_n(\omega, t), t)$. One of the difficulties in extending our earlier results [4, 5] is that it is unclear as how $\Phi(\omega, t)$ is to be affected in general by an approximation of the Brownian motion. Roughly speaking, the dependence of $\Phi(\omega, t)$ on the sample function $x(\omega, \cdot)$ must be kept the same, while $x(\omega, \cdot)$ undergoes an approximation. The approach taken here in overcoming this difficulty is to choose the basic space Ω in such a way that approximating the sample functions of the Brownian motion is equivalent to approximating elements of Ω , thus inducing an approximation of $\Phi(\omega, t)$ in a natural way.

Let $\Omega = C[0, 1]$ be the space of all continuous real valued functions defined on $[0, 1]$, and denote by $x(\omega, t)$ the value of ω at t . Let \mathcal{A} be the σ -algebra of Borel (= Baire) sets with respect to the (uniform) topology induced by the norm

$$(4) \quad \|\omega\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(\omega, t)|$$

It is well known [6, 7] that the finite dimensional distributions of a standard Brownian motion (Gaussian, zero-mean, $\text{cov}(s, t) = \min(s, t)$) can be uniquely extended to a measure \mathcal{Q} on (Ω, \mathcal{A}) , and this is the Wiener measure. Defined in this way, $x(\omega, t)$ is necessarily separable. In what

follows, we denote by \mathcal{B} the class of Lebesgue measurable sets and $\mu(\cdot)$ the Lebesgue measure. Almost surely (a. s.) shall mean either for all (ω, t) except a set of $\mathcal{D} \times \mu$ measure zero, or for all ω except a set of \mathcal{D} measure zero; which one it is is always clear from the context. Now, let $\Phi(\omega, t)$ satisfy the following hypotheses.

H_1 : Φ is a complex valued (ω, t) function measurable with respect to $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ and for each t $\Phi(\cdot, t)$ is \mathcal{A}_t measurable, where $\mathcal{A}_t \subset \mathcal{A}$ is the smallest σ -algebra with respect to which $\{x(\omega, s), s \leq t\}$ are all measurable.

H_2 : For each $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, 1]$, there exists a unique continuous linear functional $F(\cdot, \omega, t)$ on Ω such that

$$(5) \quad |\Phi(\omega + \omega', t) - \Phi(\omega, t) - F(\omega'; \omega, t)| \leq K \|\omega'\|^{1+\alpha} (1 + \|\omega\|^\beta + \|\omega'\|^\beta)$$

where K, α, β are finite positive constants independent of ω, ω', t .

The linear functional $F(\cdot, \omega, t)$, which is necessarily the Fréchet differential of $\Phi(\cdot, t)$ at ω , admits the Riesz representation

$$(6) \quad F(\omega'; \omega, t) = \int_0^1 x(\omega', s) d_s f(s; \omega, t)$$

where $f(\cdot, \omega, t)$ has bounded variation.

$$H_3: \quad \int_0^1 |d_s f(s; 0, t)| \leq K < \infty$$

$$|\Phi(0, t)| \leq K < \infty$$

where K may be assumed to be the same as that in (5) with no loss of generality. A function $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot)$ which satisfies H_1 , H_2 and H_3 can be shown to satisfy conditions A and B' of the introduction. Hence, the stochastic integral $\int_0^1 \Phi(\omega, t) d_t x(\omega, t)$ is well defined as a quadratic-mean limit. Furthermore, a sequence $\omega^n(\omega) \in \Omega$ can be so chosen that

$$P_1: \quad \|\omega^n - \omega\| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$$

$$P_2: \quad x(\omega^n, t) \text{ has piecewise continuous } t\text{-derivative}$$

and

$$P_3: \quad \int_0^1 \Phi(\omega^n(\omega), t) d_t x(\omega^n(\omega), t) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{q. m.}}$$

$$\int_0^1 \Phi(\omega, t) d_t x(\omega, t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \Psi(\omega, t) dt .$$

In P_3 , the integral $\int_0^1 \Phi(\omega, t) d_t x(\omega, t)$ is a stochastic integral, but $\int_0^1 \Phi d_t x(\omega^n(\omega), t)$ is an ordinary integral because of P_2 . The function $\Psi(\omega, t)$ is defined by

$$(7) \quad \Psi(\omega, t) = f(t^+; \omega, t) - f(t^-; \omega, t) .$$

Proposition P_3 is the main result of this paper and extends the results of [4, 5], especially [4].

The details of the proof of our main result is not particularly illuminating as to how the correction term $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \Psi(\omega, t) dt$ arises. It may be worthwhile to give a heuristic explanation for it. The Ito definition of a stochastic integral is basically one involving forward difference approximation, i. e.,

$$\int_t^{t+\Delta} \Phi(\omega, t') d_t x(\omega, t') \sim \Phi(\omega, t) [x(\omega, t+\Delta) - x(\omega, t)].$$

Suppose we consider instead a backward approximation

$$\int_t^{t+\Delta} \Phi(\omega, t') d_t x(\omega, t') \sim \Phi(\omega, t+\Delta) [x(\omega, t+\Delta) - x(\omega, t)],$$

the difference between the two is $[\Phi(\omega, t+\Delta) - \Phi(\omega, t)] [x(\omega, t+\Delta) - x(\omega, t)]$. For a $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfying H_1, H_2, H_3 , $\Phi(\omega, t+\Delta) - \Phi(\omega, t) \sim [x(\omega, t+\Delta) - x(\omega, t)] \Psi(\omega, t) + o(\Delta)$, hence the difference between a forward approximation and a backward approximation is $\sim \Psi(\omega, t) [x(\omega, t+\Delta) - x(\omega, t)]^2 + o(\Delta) \sim \Psi(\omega, t) \Delta$. The factor $1/2$ in P_3 represents an average of these two approximations.

3. Proof of the Main Result

First, some simply verifiable consequences of H_1, H_2 and H_3 are stated below.

$$(8) \quad (a) \quad |\Phi(\omega, t)| \leq K \{1 + \|\omega\| + \|\omega\|^{1+\alpha} (1 + \|\omega\|^\beta)\} \leq 3K (1 + \|\omega\|^{1+\alpha+\beta}).$$

(b) Since $x(\omega, t)$ has independent increments and $x(\omega, 0) = 0$ for almost all ω , it follows that [1, p. 363]

$$(9) \quad E \|\omega\|^{\gamma} \leq 8 E |x(\omega, 1)|^{\gamma}, \quad \gamma \geq 1$$

(c) Hence,

$$(10) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} E |\Phi(\omega, t)|^2 \\ \int_0^1 E |\Phi(\omega, t)|^2 dt \end{array} \right\} \leq M < \infty$$

(d) Therefore, (see [1, pp. 440-441]) there exists a sequence of partitions $\{t_{\nu}^{(n)}\}$ of $[0, 1]$ such that if we define

$$(11) \quad \alpha_n(t) = \max_{\nu} \{t_{\nu}^{(n)}, t_{\nu}^{(n)} \leq t\}$$

$$\beta_n(t) = \min_{\nu} \{t_{\nu}^{(n)}, t_{\nu}^{(n)} > t\}$$

then

$$(12) \quad \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} [\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)] = \max_{\nu} [t_{\nu+1}^{(n)} - t_{\nu}^{(n)}] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

and

$$(13) \quad \int_0^1 E [\Phi(\omega, t) - \Phi(\omega, \alpha_n(t))]^2 dt \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

(e) Because $\Phi(\omega, t)$ is \mathcal{A}_t measurable, $x(\omega', s) = x(\omega, s)$
 $s \leq t$ implies $\Phi(\omega', t) = \Phi(\omega, t)$. Hence (6) can be written

$$(14) \quad F(\omega', \omega, t) = \int_0^t x(\omega', s) d_s f(s; \omega, t)$$

provided that $f(s; \omega, t)$ is made continuous from the right.

(f) Let $\{\varphi_n^t\}$ be any sequence from $\Omega = C[0, 1]$ satisfying

$$(15) \quad 1 \geq \|\varphi_n^t\| = x(\varphi_n^t, t) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$$

$$(16) \quad \frac{1}{\|\varphi_n^t\|} x(\varphi_n^t, s) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad s < t$$

then for every $\omega \in \Omega$

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{\|\varphi_n^t\|} [\Phi(\omega + \varphi_n^t, t) - \Phi(\omega, t)] = \int_0^t x(\varphi_n^t / \|\varphi_n^t\|, s) d_s f(s; \omega, t) \\ + o(\|\varphi_n^t\|^\alpha) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \Psi(\omega, t)$$

(g) Since

$$(18) \quad \frac{1}{\|\varphi_n^t\|} |\Phi(\omega + \varphi_n^t, t) - \Phi(\omega, t)| \leq \left| \int_0^t x(\varphi_n^t / \|\varphi_n^t\|, s) d_s f(s; \omega, t) \right|$$

$$+ K \|\varphi_n^t\|^\alpha (1 + \|\varphi_n^t\|^\beta + \|\omega\|^\beta)$$

(cont'd.)

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq |\Phi(\varphi_n^t / \|\varphi_n^t\| + \omega, t) - \Phi(\omega, t)| + 2K(2 + \|\omega\|^\beta) \\ &\leq 9K 2^{1+\alpha+\beta} (1 + \|\omega\|^{1+\alpha+\beta}), \end{aligned}$$

it follows by dominated convergence that

$$(19) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} &E|\Psi(\omega, t)|^2 \\ &\int_0^1 E|\Psi(\omega, t)|^2 dt \end{aligned} \right\} \leq M < \infty$$

(h) For some sequence of partitions $\{t_\nu^{(n)}\}$, which can be assumed to be the same one as in (d),

$$(20) \quad \int_0^1 E|\Psi(\omega, t) - \Psi(\omega, \alpha_n(t))|^2 dt \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$\alpha_n(t)$ being defined by (11).

Given a sequence of partitions $\{0 = t_0^{(n)} < t_1^{(n)} \dots < t_{N_n}^{(n)} = 1\}$ and defining $\alpha_n(t)$, $\beta_n(t)$ as before, we can define a corresponding sequence of polygonal approximations to the Brownian motion as follows: [8] For every $\omega \in \Omega = C[0,1]$ define $\omega^n(\omega)$ by

$$(21) \quad x(\omega^n(\omega), t) = x(\omega, \alpha_n(t)) + \frac{t - \alpha_n(t)}{\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)} [x(\omega, \beta_n(t)) - x(\omega, \alpha_n(t))].$$

Now, if, as is the case for (d) and (h) above,

$$\max_{1 \leq t \leq 1} [\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

then

$$(22) \quad \|\omega^n(\omega) - \omega\| \leq 2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(\omega, t) - x(\omega, \alpha_n(t))| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{a. s.}$$

Our main result can now be stated as

Theorem. Let $\Phi(\omega, t)$ satisfy H_1 , H_2 and H_3 . Then, there exist a sequence of partitions of $[0, 1]$ and a corresponding sequence of polygonal approximations $\omega^n(\omega)$ defined by (21) such that

$$(23) \quad \int_0^1 \Phi(\omega^n(\omega), t) d_t x(\omega^n(\omega), t) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{q. m.}} \int_0^1 \Phi(\omega, t) d_t x(\omega, t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \Psi(\omega, t) dt$$

where the first integral on the right hand side is a stochastic integral (but because of (21) the left hand side is an ordinary integral).

Proof: According to (d) and (h) we can always choose a sequence of partitions so that (12), (13) and (20) are satisfied. Because of (13) and the definition of a stochastic integral

$$(24) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{N_n} \Phi(\omega, t_{\nu-1}^{(n)}) [x(\omega, t_{\nu}^{(n)}) - x(\omega, t_{\nu-1}^{(n)})]$$

$$= \int_0^1 \Phi(\omega, \alpha_n(t)) d_t x(\omega^n(\omega), t) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{q. m.}} \int_0^1 \Phi(\omega, t) d_t x(\omega, t)$$

Hence, we only need to prove

$$(25) \quad F_n(\omega) = \int_0^1 [\Phi(\omega^n(\omega), t) - \Phi(\omega, \alpha_n(t))] d_t x(\omega^n(\omega), t) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{q. m.}} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \Psi(\omega, t) dt$$

Now, let $\xi_n(\omega, t) \in \Omega$ be defined by

$$(26) \quad x(\xi_n(\omega, t), s) = x(\omega^n(\omega), \min(s, \alpha_n(t))) \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1$$

and rewrite (25) as

$$(27) \quad F_n(\omega) = \int_0^1 [\Phi(\omega^n(\omega), t) - \Phi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t)] d_t x(\omega^n(\omega), t) \\ + \int_0^1 [\Phi(\xi_n(\omega, t) - \Phi(\omega, \alpha_n(t))] d_t x(\omega^n(\omega), t)$$

The integral of the second integral is $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha_n(t)}$ measurable and

$$(28) \quad E \left\{ [x(\omega, \beta_n(t)) - x(\omega, \alpha_n(t))]^k \middle| \mathcal{G}_{\alpha_n(t)} \right\} = \begin{cases} 0, & k=1 \\ \beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t), & k=2 \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$(29) \quad E \left| \int_0^1 [\Phi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t) - \Phi(\omega, \alpha_n(t))] d_t x(\omega^n(\omega), t) \right|^2 \quad (\text{cont'd.})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\mu} \left[\frac{x(\omega, t_{\nu}) - x(\omega, t_{\nu-1})}{t_{\nu} - t_{\nu-1}} \right] \left[\frac{x(\omega, t_{\mu}) - x(\omega, t_{\mu-1})}{t_{\mu} - t_{\mu-1}} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. \int_{t_{\nu-1}}^{t_{\nu}} dt \int_{t_{\mu-1}}^{t_{\mu}} ds [\Phi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t) - \Phi(\omega, t_{\nu-1})] [\Phi(\xi_n(\omega, s), s) - \Phi(\omega, t_{\mu-1})] \right\} \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\mu} \mathbb{E} \left[\cdot \mid \mathcal{A}_{\max(t_{\nu-1}, t_{\mu-1})} \right] \right\} \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sum_{\nu} \frac{1}{(t_{\nu} - t_{\nu-1})} \left| \int_{t_{\nu-1}}^{t_{\nu}} [\Phi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t) - \Phi(\omega, t_{\nu-1})] dt \right|^2 \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} |\Phi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t) - \Phi(\omega, \alpha_n(t))|^2 dt \\
&\leq 4 \left\{ \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} |\Phi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t) - \Phi(\omega, t)|^2 dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^1 \mathbb{E} |\Phi(\omega, t) - \Phi(\omega, \alpha_n(t))|^2 dt \right\} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0
\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of dominated convergence and (13). Thus, (25) reduces to

$$(30) \quad \int_0^1 [\Phi(\omega^n(\omega), t) - \Phi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t)] d_t x(\omega^n(\omega), t) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{q. m.}} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \Psi(\omega, t) dt$$

From H_2 , (14) and (26) we can write

$$(31) \quad \Phi(\omega^n(\omega), t) - \Phi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[\frac{x(\omega, \beta_n(t)) - x(\omega, \alpha_n(t))}{\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)} \right] \int_{\alpha_n(t)}^t (s - \alpha_n(t)) d_s f(s; \xi_n(\omega, t), t) \\
&\quad + |x(\omega, \beta_n(t)) - x(\omega, \alpha_n(t))|^{1+\alpha} G_n(\omega, t) \\
&= \left[\frac{x(\omega, \beta_n(t)) - x(\omega, \alpha_n(t))}{\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)} \right] \int_{\alpha_n(t)}^t [f(t; \xi_n(\omega, t), t) - f(s; \xi_n(\omega, t), t)] ds \\
&\quad + |x(\omega, \beta_n(t)) - x(\omega, \alpha_n(t))|^{1+\alpha} G_n(\omega, t) \\
&= \left[\frac{x(\omega, \beta_n(t)) - x(\omega, \alpha_n(t))}{\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)} \right] (t - \alpha_n(t)) \Psi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t) \\
&\quad + [x(\omega, \beta_n(t)) - x(\omega, \alpha_n(t))] H_n(\omega, t) \\
&\quad + |x(\omega, \beta_n(t)) - x(\omega, \alpha_n(t))|^{1+\alpha} G_n(\omega, t)
\end{aligned}$$

where $|G_n(\omega, t)|$, $|H_n(\omega, t)|$ are both dominated by $K'(1 + \|\omega\|^{1+\gamma})$ $\gamma > 0$,

$H_n(\omega, t)$ is $\bigcap_{\alpha_n(t)}$ measurable and $\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ a.s. Hence, it is easy to show that (30) reduces to

$$(32) \quad \int_0^1 \left[\frac{x(\omega, \beta_n(t)) - x(\omega, \alpha_n(t))}{\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)} \right]^2 (t - \alpha_n(t)) \Psi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t) dt \quad (\text{cont'd.})$$

$$\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{q. m.}} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \Psi(\omega, t) dt$$

or

$$(33) \quad \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{[x(\omega, \beta_n(t)) - x(\omega, \alpha_n(t))]}{\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)} - 1 \right\} \left[\frac{t - \alpha_n(t)}{\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)} \right] \Psi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t) dt$$

$$+ \int_0^1 \left[\frac{t - \alpha_n(t)}{\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)} \right] [\Psi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t) - \Psi(\omega, \alpha_n(t))] dt$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [\Psi(\omega, \alpha_n(t)) - \Psi(\omega, t)] dt \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{q. m.}} 0.$$

Denoting the three integrals in (33) by I_1 , I_2 and I_3 , we find that because $\Psi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t)$ is $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_n(t)}$ measurable and

$$(34) \quad E \left\{ \frac{[x(\omega, \beta_n(t)) - x(\omega, \alpha_n(t))]^2}{\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)} - 1 \middle| \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_n(t)} \right\} = 0$$

by using arguments similar to those of (29), we can show that

$$E I_1^2 \leq 2 \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} [\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)] \int_0^1 E |\Psi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t)|^2 dt \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$$

The last integral I_3 in (33) converges to zero in quadratic mean because of (20). Thus, it only remains to prove

$$(35) \quad \int_0^1 \left[\frac{t - \alpha_n(t)}{\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)} \right] [\Psi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t) - \Psi(\omega, \alpha_n(t))] dt \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{q. m.}} 0$$

which can be further reduced to

$$(36) \quad \int_0^1 \left[\frac{t - \alpha_n(t)}{\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)} \right] [\Psi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t) - \Psi(\omega, t)] dt \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{q. m.}} 0$$

To prove (36), we note that from (f) we can find for every t in $[0, 1]$ a sequence $\{\varphi_n^t\}$ satisfying (15) and (16) and in addition

$$(37) \quad \|\varphi_n^t\| \geq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |\beta_n(s) - \alpha_n(s)|^{1/3}$$

so that for almost all ω

$$(38) \quad \Psi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t) - \left[\frac{\Phi(\xi_n(\omega, t) + \varphi_n^t, t) - \Phi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t)}{\|\varphi_n^t\|} \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$$

$$(39) \quad \Psi(\omega, t) - \frac{\Phi(\omega + \varphi_n^t, t) - \Phi(\omega, t)}{\|\varphi_n^t\|} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$$

Further, because $x(\omega, s)$ is a Brownian motion, we have

$$(40) \quad \frac{\max_{0 \leq s \leq t} |x(\xi_n(\omega, t), s) - x(\omega, s)|}{\|\varphi_n^t\|}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{\text{Max}_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |x(\omega^n(\omega), s) - x(\omega, s)|}{\text{Max}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)|^{1/3}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 \text{Sup}_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |x(\omega, s) - x(\omega, \alpha_n(s))|}{\text{Max}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |\beta_n(t) - \alpha_n(t)|^{1/3}} \\
& \leq 2 \text{Sup}_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \left\{ \frac{|x(\omega, s) - x(\omega, \alpha_n(s))|}{|s - \alpha_n(s)|^{1/3}} \right\} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{a. s.}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all t and almost all ω

$$(41) \quad \frac{\Phi(\xi_n(\omega, t) + \varphi_n^t, t) - \Phi(\omega + \varphi_n^t, t)}{\|\varphi_n^t\|} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$(42) \quad \frac{\Phi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t) - \Phi(\omega, t)}{\|\varphi_n^t\|} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Whence

$$(43) \quad \Psi(\xi_n(\omega, t), t) - \Psi(\omega, t) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{a. s.}$$

and (36) follows by dominated convergence (using the bounds provided by (18)). The proof for the theorem is now complete.

Corollary. Under the hypothesis of the theorem, a sequence of partitions exists for which

$$(44) \quad \int_0^1 \Phi(\omega^n(\omega), t) d_t x(\omega^n(\omega), t) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a. s.}} \int_0^1 \Phi(\omega, t) d_t x(\omega, t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \Psi(\omega, t) dt$$

Proof. This result is obvious since every q. m. convergent sequence has an a. s. convergent subsequence with the same limit.

4. Examples and Applications

First, consider a class of examples corresponding more or less to the situation in [3, 4, 5]. Let

$$(45) \quad \Phi(\omega, t) = M(y(\omega, t), t)$$

where

$$(46) \quad y(\omega, t) = \int_0^t v(\omega, s) d_s x(\omega, s)$$

is a stochastic integral and $M(y, t)$ is twice y -differentiable. It is easy to show that if $v(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies H_1 , H_2 and H_3 then so does $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Furthermore, by virtue of (17)

$$(47) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi(\omega, t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\|\varphi_n^t\|} [\Phi(\omega + \varphi_n^t, t) - \Phi(\omega, t)] \\ &= v(\omega, t) M'(y(\omega, t), t) \quad \left(M'(y, t) = \frac{\partial M(y, t)}{\partial y} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Much weaker conditions on $v(\cdot, \cdot)$ also suffice to yield (47), but this fact would require a more lengthy discussion. Applying the main theorem to the example considered earlier (see (3)), we find

$$(48) \quad \int_0^1 x(\omega^n(\omega), t) d_t x(\omega^n(\omega), t) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{q. m.}} \int_0^1 x(\omega, t) d_t x(\omega, t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [x^2(\omega, t) - x^2(\omega, 0)]$$

as it should.

From the point of view of many physical problems, application of stochastic integral to differential equations is important. It is well known [1, pp. 273-291] that under suitable conditions on $\sigma(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $m(\cdot, \cdot)$, the following stochastic differential equation has a unique solution:

$$(49) \quad d_t y(\omega, t) = m(y(\omega, t), t) dt + \sigma(y(\omega, t), t) d_t x(\omega, t).$$

Here, a solution $y(\cdot, t)$ is interpreted as an \mathcal{A}_t measurable function satisfying

$$(50) \quad y(\omega, t) = y(\omega, 0) + \int_0^t m(y(\omega, s), s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(y(\omega, s), s) d_s x(\omega, s)$$

where the last integral is a stochastic integral. Let

$$(51) \quad \Phi_t(\omega, s) = \sigma(y(\omega, s), s), \quad s \leq t$$

$$= 0 \quad s > t$$

then in view of our discussion preceding (47), we can expect that under suitable conditions on $\sigma(\cdot, \cdot)$

$$(52) \quad \int_0^1 \Phi_t(\omega^n(\omega), s) d_s x(\omega^n(\omega), s) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{q.m.}} \int_0^t \sigma(y(\omega, s), s) d_s x(\omega, s) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sigma'(y(\omega, s), s) \sigma(y(\omega, s), s) ds$$

This was the basic motivation of the results given in [4,5]. If, as in the references [4,5], we define

$$(53) \quad y_n(\omega, t) = y(\omega, 0) + \int_0^t m(y_n(\omega, s), s) ds \\ + \int_0^t \sigma(y_n(\omega, s), s) d_s x(\omega^n(\omega), s)$$

where $\omega^n(\omega)$ is defined by (21), then even if $y_n(\omega, t)$ has a limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the limit is not the solution of (50). Rather, we expect the limit $\hat{y}(\omega, t)$ to satisfy

$$(54) \quad \hat{y}(\omega, t) = y(\omega, 0) + \int_0^t m(\hat{y}(\omega, s), s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(\hat{y}(\omega, s), s) d_s x(\omega, s) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sigma(\hat{y}(\omega, s), s) \sigma'(\hat{y}(\omega, s), s) ds .$$

Our main theorem can be used to prove (54). However, the conditions given in [4] on $\sigma(\cdot, \cdot)$ need to be strengthened to accomodate H_2 .

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Professor William L. Root for a careful reading of the manuscript and many useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. J. L. Doob, *Stochastic Processes*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1953.
2. E. B. Dynkin, *Markov Processes-I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, and Academic Press, New York, 1965.
3. R. L. Stratonovich, A new representation for stochastic integrals, *Vestnik Moskov Univ., Ser. I Mat. Meh.*, 1 (1964), pp. 3-12.
Reprinted in *SIAM Journal on Control*, 4 (1966) 362-371.
4. E. Wong and M. Zakai, On the relationship between ordinary and stochastic differential equations, *Int. J. Eng. Sci.*, 3 (1965) 213-229.
5. E. Wong and M. Zakai, On the convergence of ordinary integrals to stochastic integrals, *Ann. Math. Stat.*, 36 (1965) 1560-1564.
6. Yu V. Prokhorov, Convergence of random processes and limit theorems in probability theory, *Theory of Probability and its Applications*, 1 (1956) 157-213.
7. Edward Nelson, Regular probability measures on function space, *Annals of Math.*, 69 (1959) 630-643.
8. P. Levy, *Processus Stochastique et Mouvement Brownien*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1948).