

Regular Homotopies of Low-Genus Non-Orientable Surfaces

Carlo H. Séquin



Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences
University of California at Berkeley

Technical Report No. UCB/EECS-2013-21

<http://www.eecs.berkeley.edu/Pubs/TechRpts/2013/EECS-2013-21.html>

March 28, 2013

Copyright © 2013, by the author(s).
All rights reserved.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission.

Regular Homotopies of Low-Genus Non-Orientable Surfaces

Carlo H. Séquin
CS Division, University of California, Berkeley
E-mail: sequin@cs.berkeley.edu

Abstract

This is a revised and extended version of Tech Report (EECS-2012-200) with the same title. The construction of various Klein bottles that belong to different regular homotopy classes, and which thus cannot be smoothly transformed into one another, is formally introduced. For all cases it is shown how these shapes can be partitioned into two Möbius bands and how the twistedness of these bands defines the homotopy type.

1. Introduction

This paper extends the study of the regular homotopies of tori presented last year in *Tori Story* [19] to the realm of non-orientable surfaces of low genus, in particular to the Projective Plane and to Klein bottles. Topologically, Boy's surface (a compact, smooth, immersive model of the Projective Plane) is a single-sided surface with Euler characteristic $\chi=1$, while Klein bottles have Euler characteristic $\chi=0$. Both surfaces have no boundaries or punctures. Surfaces are in the same regular homotopy class if they can be smoothly transformed into one another without ever experiencing any cuts, or tears, or creases with infinitely sharp curvature; however, a surface is allowed to pass through itself. The paper by Hass and Hughes [10] states (pg.103): Corollary 1.3 (James–Thomas): There are $2^{2-\chi}$ distinct regular homotopy classes of immersions of a marked surface of Euler characteristic χ into \mathbf{R}^3 . This tells us there should be **two** distinct models of Boy's surface and **four** (decorated) Klein bottle types that cannot be transformed smoothly into one another. However, I have found no publication that shows what these four types might look like. The single-sidedness of these objects also makes it conceptually more difficult to visualize these shapes. But some good discussions and e-mail exchanges with Dan Asimov, Tom Banchoff, Matthias Goerner, Rob Kusner, and John Sullivan helped me clarify the situation. All types of Klein bottles can be built from fusing together two Möbius strips, and the twistedness of these strips plays an important role in the determination of a Klein bottle type. Thus I include this decomposition in the images below and start this exposition with a discussion of these important building blocks.




Figure 1: A left-twisting Möbius band (ML). Deforming its sweep path alters its apparent twist from: (a) $+180^\circ$ (ccw), to (b) 0° , to (c) -180° , and to (d) -540° (cw).

2. Möbius Bands

A Möbius band (Fig.1) can be constructed by taking a rectangular domain – for instance a paper strip – and connecting two opposite edges in reversed order, i.e., after executing a 180° flip. For any topological

analysis the surface is permitted to pass through itself; thus we can always execute one or more of the *Figure-8 Sweep Cross-over Moves* described in *Tori Story* [19]. This allows us to change *twist* (compared to a rotation-minimizing sweep) in increments of $\pm 720^\circ$. The same applies to single-sided, non-orientable Möbius bands, and thus there are only two homotopically different Möbius bands, and they differ in their amount of twist by exactly 360° . Thus all the shapes depicted in Figure 1 belong into the same regular homotopy class. Mirroring, on the other hand, would turn the left-turning Möbius band (**ML**) of Figure 1a into a right-turning one (**MR**), and thus cast this surface into a different regular homotopy class.

Several of the stages of different twistedness depicted in Figure 1 have been exploited by various artists: The almost circular shape (Fig.1a) can be found in a wedding band [14]. The shape shown in Figure 1b has been celebrated in a sculpture by Max Bill [2], and the configurations (1c) and (1d) appear in drawings by M.C. Escher [9][8].




Figure 2: Artistic Möbius bands: (a) wedding band, (b) sculpture by Bill, (c,d) drawings by Escher.

If we focus on the edge of the circular Möbius band (Fig.1a) and include a tiny sliver of the band's surface with it, then this edge-band forms a double loop with a total twist of 360° . This double loop can be unfolded into a figure-8 shape without changing the built-in twist as explained in Figure 18 in [20]. This unfolding of the edge will widen the narrow Möbius band into an extended surface that resembles a two-pouch basket (Fig.3a), but which topologically is still a Möbius band. Further deformations can be applied to this edge band, creating different kinds of “baskets” or “goblets.” In particular, we can further un-warp the Möbius band edge into a circle. This deformation will change the edge band's twist by $\pm 360^\circ$. If we let the twist add up to 720° then we obtain the Sudanese Möbius band [13] depicted in Figure 3b. Alternatively we can let the twist cancel out to zero and then obtain another interesting warped surface – which happens to be equivalent to a Boy surface [3][4] minus a disk (Fig.3c). Some of these shapes will play an important role in our analysis of various Klein bottles.




Figure 3: Deforming the edge of a Möbius band: (a) into a figure-8 shape with 360° twist; or into a circular loop: (b) with 720° twist, or (c) with zero twist (when [4] is cut at red line).

3. Boy's Surface and “Boy's Cap”

A Möbius band has just one continuous edge. If a (suitably warped) disk is “glued” to that edge, a single-sided surface without edges and with Euler characteristic $\chi = 1$ results; this is topologically equivalent to the *projective plane*. The simplest compact model of the projective plane in 3D Euclidean space is the *cross cap surface* (Fig.4a). Another model with higher symmetry is *Steiner's Roman surface* [21] (Fig.4b). However, both these models have some singularities, so called *Whitney Umbrellas*, where the surface curvature goes to infinity [23]. It is much harder to find an immersive model without any singularities. Werner Boy found the first such solution [3]. This particularly nice *immersion* has 3-fold rotational symmetry (Fig.4c). This model has a clear sense of chirality, and indeed it appears in two enantiomorphic forms, which we will label **BL** and **BR**. The two versions belong to different regular homotopy classes; and, according to [10], these are the only two regular homotopy classes that we can expect for a surface of Euler characteristic $\chi = 1$.




Figure 4: (a) *Cross cap surface*; (b) *Steiner's Roman surface*; (c) *Boy surface (BL)*.

If we puncture a hole into any of the models shown in Figure 4, i.e., remove a topological disk from the surface, we obtain a structure that is equivalent to a Möbius band. This is not easy to visualize, but Indiana University has a web site [5] based on a parameterization by Bryant and Kusner that gives a beautiful visualization of how a triply (right-twisted) Möbius band (**ML**) can be gradually widened to cover almost all of a (left-twisting) Boy surface, except for a small disk missing at the 3-fold symmetric pole (Fig.5). I like to call the final structure a “Boy cap.” Smooth and well-formed Klein bottles can also be constructed from pairs of such Boy caps (see Section 13).




Figure 5: *Transformation of a Möbius band ($M3R=ML$) into a “Boy cap” (BcL) – a Boy surface minus a disk – through a gradual widening of the band [5].*

4. The Classical Klein Bottle

We begin our investigation of the realm of Klein bottles with a formal construction of the well-known “inverted sock” shape. We start with the parameterized, decorated, rectangular domain shown in Figure 6a. As for the case of torus construction, we first merge the two (horizontal) edges marked with parallel cyan arrows to form a generalized cylinder. For now we give this tube simply a round or oval profile (**O**) (Fig.6b). We then close this tube into a **J**-shaped loop (Fig.6c), so that its two ends can be joined with the reversed orientation indicated by the labelling and by the two anti-parallel brown arrows in Figure 6a.

Since we are now dealing with single-sided surfaces, I will use a somewhat different coloring scheme from the one I had used for tori [20]. While I maintain the color **red** for the *meridian* bands, I split the domain into two halves with different background colors and give their center bands a fully saturated color, either **blue** or **yellow**, to mark the *parallel* parameter lines; these two regions will always form the two Möbius bands into which a Klein bottle can be partitioned. Parallel to these central bands I draw lines of less saturated color (olive, purple) that will wrap twice around the Klein-bottle loop, thereby executing an even number of 180° flips. Thus a key difference to *Tori Story* is that not all parallels are the same any more. Because of the reverse labelling along the two vertical edges of the rectangular domain, there are only exactly two parallels that meet up with themselves; those form the center-lines of two Möbius bands with $\pm 180^\circ$ twist. All other parallel parameter lines form double loops with a $\pm 360^\circ$ twist.




Figure 6: Formal construction of a Klein bottle: (a) its rectangular domain; (b) the domain rolled into a tube; (c) the tube bent into a **J**-formation with its two ends lined up in a concentric manner.




Figure 7: The ordinary “inverted sock” Klein bottle resulting from the construction in Figure 6: (a) the complete Klein bottle **KOJ**; (b) the lower half of it is a right-handed Möbius band (**MR**); (c) the upper, left-handed Möbius band (**ML**) shown flipped over.

For the tube with the **O**-profile, the closing of the loop is most conveniently done by narrowing one end and inserting it sideways into the larger end of the tube – forming some kind of **J**-shape (Fig.6c); this configuration properly lines up all the numbered labels. The two concentric ends are merged by turning the smaller one inside out to yield the classical “inverted sock” Klein bottle, named **KOJ** (Fig.7a).

In this case, both “special” parallels form two Möbius bands of opposite handedness. Correspondingly, the **KOJ** Klein bottle can be partitioned into two Möbius bands (yellow and blue) having opposite handedness as shown in Figures 7b and 7c. We can denote this symbolically as:

$$\mathbf{MR} + \mathbf{ML} = \mathbf{KOJ}.$$

The *Tori Story* paper [19] spent much effort to analyze the amount of twist built into the toroidal ring, because tori that differ in their amount of built-in twist by 360° belong to different regular homotopy classes. Interestingly, for the classical Klein bottle twist is a non-issue! By rotating the handle around the symmetry axis of the “inverted-sock” turn-back mouth, any amount of twist can be added to or removed from this **J**-loop [16]. This is a consequence of the fact that in Figure 6a the labeling at the left and right sides of the fundamental rectangular domain can be shifted up or down in a cyclic manner by any arbitrary amount, and there will always be exactly two labels that are lying on the same *parallel* parameter lines. These two parameter lines then form the center-lines of the two Möbius bands of opposite handedness into which this Klein bottle can be decomposed.

5. Figure-8 Klein Bottles

When merging the two parallel edges marked by cyan arrows in Figure 6a to form an initial tube, we are not forced to form a round, circular **O**-profile. Instead we may form a figure-8 cross section (Fig.8a) or an even more complicated, multiply-rolled generalized cylinder as was discussed for tori [19]. This results in various Klein bottles that may belong to different regular homotopy classes and which thus cannot be smoothly deformed into the classical “inverted-sock” shape.




Figure 8: Construction of figure-8 Klein bottles: (a) figure-8 tube; (b) tube twisted through 180° so that the two ends can be merged into a toroidal loop; (c) a new way to line-up the number labels.

For the tube with the figure-8 profile, there are a few different ways in which we can fuse the tube ends with the required reversed orientation, and they may result in different Klein bottles. For instance, we can bend the tube into a simple toroidal loop (an **O**-shaped path) and give the figure-8 cross-section a 180° torsional flip (Fig.8b). This flip can either be clockwise (right-handed: **R**) or counter-clockwise (left-handed: **L**) and this will result in two figure-8 Klein bottles that belong to two different regular homotopy classes – for the same reason that the Möbius band shown in Figure 1 cannot be smoothly transformed into its own mirror image. We call the resulting two Klein-bottle classes **K8R-O** (Fig.9) and **K8L-O** (Fig.10), respectively. For both classes the two Möbius bands that form the Klein bottle, and which happen to intersect along their center lines, are also shown separately in Figures 9 and 10 (a, b).




Figure 9: Two right-handed Möbius bands MR (a,b) form a right-handed Klein bottle $K8R-O$ (c).




Figure 10: Two left-handed Möbius bands ML (a,b) form left-handed figure-8 Klein bottle $K8L-O$ (c).

Figure 11 shows a third way in which the 8-profile tube can be closed into a Klein bottle:




Figure 11: A Klein-bottle based on an “inverted double sock”: (a) without end-caps to show the nesting of the figure-8 tube profiles; (b) completed Klein bottle of type $K8L-JJ$; (c) one of its two ML Möbius bands.

This new type of Klein bottle is constructed by forming the same kind of **J**-shaped sweep path as for the classical Klein bottle and fusing the two nested figure-8 profiles by turning one of them inside out. To do this smoothly, we use an asymmetrical figure-8 profile in which one lobe is larger than the other one. As we sweep from one end of the tube to the other one, the larger lobe shrinks and the smaller one grows, so that the end-profiles can be nested as shown in Figures 8c and 11a. Now a nicely rounded, 8-shaped end cap can smoothly close off this Klein bottle (Fig.11b). I have not seen this particular Klein bottle depicted previously. It is rather special, since it does not just have a single self-intersection line like all the other models, but features two triple points. These triple points occur where the self-intersection line of the figure-8 cross section passes through the wall of the other tube near the mouth of the Klein bottle. If we split this Klein bottle into its two constituent Möbius bands, they can be separated cleanly along the closed self-intersection loop passing through both triple points. Each component itself forms an “inverted sock” Klein bottle shape with a sharp crease line, which also experiences a 180° twist (Fig.11c).

6. Looking for the 4th Type of Klein Bottle

When I first found the Klein bottle shown in Figure 11b I thought it might be the 4th type of bottle that the paper by Hass and Hughes [10] predicted. However, an analysis of the twistedness of its meridians and of its two Möbius bands quickly showed that this shape has C_2 rotational symmetry and is composed of two Möbius bands of the same type. If this shape were different from the three types of Klein bottles already identified, then the mirror image of this new shape would also have to be a separate, different type. But there can only be four different Klein bottles. Thus the two mirror-image versions of this double-sock type must also belong to the classes **K8L** and **K8R**. To distinguish their geometries from **K8L-O** and **K8R-O** we name them **K8L-JJ** and **K8R-JJ**.

So now that we have two attractive representatives for each of these two **K8x** classes, which one should we choose as the most natural one? Can we find a more objective measure for defining the “best” shape? Perhaps the shape with a minimal amount of mean bending energy could be used in this context. This measure, known as Willmore energy [24], and which integrates the square of mean curvature over the whole surface, is scale-independent and thus well-suited for this purpose.

7. Minimum-Energy Klein Bottle

Lawson [12] has defined the minimum-energy forms for many topological shapes. Among others he has introduced the intriguing shape shown in Figure 12, nicely illustrated by Polthier (Fig.12a) and well explained on his web page [15]. Kusner [11] has conjectured that the Willmore energy [24] of several Klein bottles described by Lawson is indeed minimized by this particular shape.




Figure 12: *Lawson minimum-energy Klein bottle: (a) Shell with center portions of Möbius bands [15] (top cut off); (b) an FDM model in which the parallel parameter lines have been hand-colored; (c) the set of circular, but twisted meridians.*

Could this be a candidate for the sought-after 4th Klein bottle type? -- No, it is not a candidate. This surface clearly displays a sense of chirality: Along the circular intersection line, we find two Möbius bands of the same twistedness, shown in green and brown in Figure 12a. Because of the limited number of Klein bottles of differing regular homotopy (four), the two mirror versions of the Lawson Klein bottle must again be in the same regular homotopy classes as **K8L-O** and **K8R-O**. To single out these shapes, we denote them as **K8L-Lawson** and **K8R-Lawson**, respectively.

In this case it was not too difficult to convince myself that the two Lawson Klein bottles (**K8x-Lawson**) are in the **K8x-O** classes, and that there is a smooth regular homotopy move that will bring about the conversion between the two geometries. My conceptual model starts from two identical Möbius bands passing through one another at right angles along a circular intersection line. Both bands show rainbow coloring in their longitudinal direction (Fig.13). To form a Klein bottle of type **K8R-O**, one can add figure-8-shaped meridians that cross themselves on this intersection line. Three such meridional bands are shown in Figure 13a, and their color has been matched to the color of the Möbius bands at that cross-over point. Now we shift the two Möbius bands against one another along the intersection circle and let the ends of the two meridional half-loops remain attached to the Möbius bands into which they merge tangentially. This circular shift operation pulls the figure-8 shapes apart and deforms them into warped loops that appear to become more and more twisted as the shifting process proceeds. When the shifting has progressed around half the circle, the meridional bands start to look very much like the bands depicted in Figure 12c. Note, however, that this is not the exact transformation needed to obtain the Lawson Klein bottle. To obtain that exact shape, the shifting would have to be non-linear and result in some compression of the density of the meridional bands along some sector of the intersection circle. Nevertheless, this model made it plausible for me that the shapes are indeed transformable into one another by a relatively simple regular homotopy move.




Figure 13: *Conceptual conversion of **K8R-O** into a minimum-energy Lawson Klein bottle.*

Even though the Lawson Klein bottle is an elegant minimum-energy shape, this configuration is still rather difficult to understand. I thus prefer to use **K8x-O** as the representatives for the two figure-8 classes of Klein bottles. But in any case, the search for Klein bottle type #4 needs to continue.

8. Change of Surface Parameterization

In a torus, a discrete change in the parameterization grid can yield a torus that belongs to a different regular homotopy class. In particular, the introduction of a Dehn twist [7] of 360° in the meridional direction (M-twist) converts a torus of type **TOO** into **TO8**, and a longitudinal (or “equatorial”) Dehn twist (L-twist = E-twist) takes **TOO** into **T8O** [19] [20]. Thus we will try this approach with Klein bottles, too. Let’s investigate what kinds of parameter transformations make sense for Klein bottles.

8.1 Textures on Klein Bottles

Before we look at the effects of introducing Dehn twists, let’s review some of the restrictions that apply to textures on Klein bottles. First, the texture (e.g., the ends of meridional or longitudinal loops) must match on opposite sides of the rectangular domain (Fig.14a), as indicated by the cyan and brown arrows in

Figure 17a. On tori, this texture tile can be shifted along both axes by arbitrary amounts. On Klein bottles, we can still shift the texture arbitrarily in the longitudinal direction (Fig.14b). But in the meridional direction only moves by half the domain period (Fig.14d) will allow the two brown edges to have matching patterns and thus bring the two Möbius core curves to closure. When we apply a meridional shear of 1.0, corresponding to a 360° meridional Dehn twist (Fig.14e), the brown edges will also line up properly. But this is not the only way by which we can achieve such an alignment. With the right combination of M-twist and M-shift resulting in a symmetrical slanting of the longitudinal lines around the center of the domain (Fig.14f), we can get the brown edges to line up for any amount of shearing. This is another way of showing that twist in a Klein bottle is somewhat ephemeral. In a **KOJ** bottle such an M-twist can always be undone by rotating the handle around an axis coming out of the mouth of the bottle by an angle equal to half the amount of twist in the handle [16]. However, in all cases, the domain of the surface needs to connect to its “backside” with features reversed along the top and bottom edges; thus in Figure 14 we show two repetitions of the texture map: the upper, darker one is the reversed backside. And now it becomes clear that many of the proposed parameter changes would lead to unacceptable kinks and/or discontinuities at that border.




Figure 14: *Dehn twists as seen on the texture map for the Klein bottle: (a) untwisted texture map, (b) with 90° of longitudinal shift applied, (c) with 36° of meridional shift added, (d) with 180° of meridional shift added, (e) with 360° of meridional twist added, (f) with 90° of meridional twist added, (g) with 360° of longitudinal twist, (h) with both meridional and longitudinal twist.*

Longitudinal Dehn twists show this even more dramatically. Any kind of L-shear will transfer some of the longitudinal directionality onto the meridional lines. Thus the brown edges can no longer match with the required reversed directions, unless the texture also has bilateral symmetry in the longitudinal

direction around the central meridian of the domain. But this would still not be good enough to join ends of the original meridional lines; they would now have to make two meridional loops before they get back to the starting point. Thus L-twists must be disallowed.

8.2 The Effects of Twist in Klein Bottles

Now let's analyze the effects that Dehn-twists could have on the regular homotopy class of a Klein bottle. In the following the illustrations will be based on the directional texture shown in Figure 17a.

Meridional Twist on a Figure-8 Profile

Let's take a Klein bottle of type **K8R-O** (Fig.15a) and cut it along its figure-8 meridian indicated by the black&white double line. Now we focus on a characteristic longitudinal ribbon, e.g., the central portion of one of the two Möbius bands (which follows the intersection line). We hold one of its ends in place while we move its other end once around the whole figure-8 cut line until it comes back to its starting position. This corresponds to adding 360° of meridional Dehn twist; the cut is along the black double line in Figure 15b. Now let's analyze how the twistedness of the modified ribbon has been affected. Since the figure-8 loop in the meridional plane has a turning number of zero, the orientation of the moving end of the longitudinal ribbon experiences no net rotation, and thus the twistedness of such a ribbon remains the same. Since the twistedness of meridional ribbons does not change either, the classification of the Klein bottle would not change in response to such an M-twist, but it would lead to unacceptable kinks in the longitudinal parameter lines at the black&white cut line (Fig. 15b).




Figure 15: (a) Klein bottle of type **K8R-O** with one of its meridional bands enhanced with a black&white double line.; (b) the same shape with a meridional Dehn twist of 360° ; (c) the same shape with a longitudinal Dehn twist. (For texture map see Fig.17a.)

Longitudinal Twist on K8x-O Klein Bottles

Now we cut the **K8R-O** bottle along the line of self-intersection in Figures 15a, c. A general instance of a longitudinal line goes around the loop of the Klein bottle twice before it joins up again with itself. Thus, if we sever a meridional ribbon on this longitudinal cut line, hold one end of it fixed in place, and send the other one traveling once along the whole longitudinal path, then the latter will make two laps around the circular sweep path and thus experience a net change in twistedness of 720° . Since twist is counted modulo 720° , this is again a transformation that would not change the regular homotopy class of the Klein bottle. But again we encounter problems where the surface joins its own backside: There is now a distinct texture mismatch across that junction line (Fig.15c).

Meridional Twist on the KOJ Klein Bottle

Next we take a **KOJ** Klein bottle (Fig.16a) and cut it along a circular meridian, e.g., right at the rim of the mouth. At this location we take the inner branch of the tube and twist it 360° with respect to the other end. This will add a twist of $\pm 360^\circ$ into any longitudinal ribbon crossing the cut (Fig.16b). Since a complete, general longitudinal path crosses this cut-line twice, the net increment in twistedness is again 720° , a value that will not change the classification of the Klein bottle. – This should come as no surprise, since it has already been established that twist in **KOJ** Klein bottles is a moot concept [16], because we can always remove any such twist by rotating the handle around an axis aligned with the cylindrical symmetry axis of the Klein bottle mouth, thereby changing the reference plane that indicates the edges of the two Möbius bands. Moreover, note the discontinuity in the direction of the parameter lines at the cut line.




Figure 16: (a) Classical Klein bottle with one of its meridional rings enhanced; (b) the same shape with a meridional Dehn twist of 360° ; (c) the same shape with a longitudinal Dehn twist. (d) Analysis of the turning number of a longitudinal ribbon on a **KOJ** Klein bottle.

Longitudinal Twist on the KOJ Klein Bottle

The last case to be analyzed involves a cut along a longitudinal path on the classical **KOJ** Klein bottle. Such a path will in general pass twice through the loopy handle and through the turn-back mouth. If we focus on a **KOJ** bottle with a planar sweep path and then analyze the turning number of the equatorial line, we find that it has a turning number of 1 (Fig.16d) and is un-twisted. Thus a meridional ribbon cut at one of the crossings with this line, with one end traveling around the longitudinal path, while the other end stays in place will experience the addition of $\pm 360^\circ$ of twist. This amount of change in twistedness does make a change, and thus this twisted Klein bottle (Fig.16d) seems to be no longer in the same regular homotopy class for marked surfaces after the addition of $\pm 360^\circ$ of longitudinal Dehn twist.

However, Figure 16c clearly shows that again something is wrong with such a twisted (sheared) texture on a Klein bottle: The longitudinal L-twist (a.k.a. E-twist) will not only result in kinks in the parameter lines at the mouth of the Klein bottle, but there is even a discontinuity in the texture pattern. Thus, in summary, the addition of any kind of Dehn twist is not an operation through which we can expect to obtain a novel, yet still legal, Klein bottle that belongs to a different regular homotopy class.

8.3 Other Parameterization Changes

Parameter Swap

We can also rule out the parameter swap that exchanges the roles of meridians and longitudes. On tori this was a permissible and interesting operation. For Klein bottles this operation must also be disallowed, since all meridians exhibit twist in integer multiples of 360° and thus form two-sided bands; while there are some longitudes that exhibit 180° of twist and thus form single-sided entities.

Everson Operation

For tori there was also an evert operation. It is somewhat misleading for this discussion to assume that tori were painted with two different colors, one for the “inside” and the other for the “outside.” One should rather depict these mathematical surfaces as infinitely thin glass surfaces that carry the chosen texture within them, so that it can be seen from both sides. In order to know which side we are facing at any particular moment, we give the surface texture some directionality along both coordinate axes. The relationship between the viewing direction and the cross-product of the *meridional* and *longitudinal* direction vectors then determines which side faces the camera. Because of the issues discussed in the previous section, meridians cannot be assigned a consistent direction. Thus no consistent cross-product can be formed, and the surface becomes indeed *non-orientable*. Thus the evert operation is also meaningless for Klein bottles – or trivial, since we can simply shift the whole texture domain by one full period in the longitudinal direction.

Reversing Directionality

However, directionality in the longitudinal direction still makes sense for the **KOJ** bottle, because the Klein bottle mouth is asymmetrical in the direction of travel along the tube first formed in Figure 6b. Thus reversing a texture with directionality in the longitudinal direction is a non-trivial operation on **KOJ**. This can be achieved by rotating the texture domain (Fig.17a) by 180° in the domain plane, and this parameterization change may indeed affect the regular homotopy class of the Klein bottle subjected to it!

9. Grafting Folds onto Klein Bottles

In our analysis of the regular homotopy classes of tori [20] we have seen that we can also obtain all four different representatives by starting with a simple torus and then, in turn, graft a folded-over surface strip onto one of the three characteristic lines (meridians, parallels, and diagonals). It seems worthwhile trying the same operations with Klein bottles.




Figure 17: (a) The texture used in Figures 14-19 with longitudinal directionality; (b) classical **KOJ**; (c) **KOJ-C** with a collar at the mouth; (d) **KOJ-C** with inflated dark-blue/purple branch.

Meridional Grafts on KOJ

We can apply a meridional graft anywhere along the tube forming the Klein bottle. In particular we can use the meridian at the rim of the mouth (Fig.17b), which then results in the **collared** structure **KOJ-C** shown in Figure 17c. The extra fold of this graft forces the tube to undergo an extra reversal and thus changes the directionality by which the texture passes through the mouth. While in Figure 17b the arrows

seem to flow out of the mouth, in Figure 17c they flow into it. It turns out that we can easily eliminate the additional circular intersection line created by the grafted-on fold by inflating the dark-blue/purple part of the tube; we then simply obtain a Klein bottle in which the thin and the thick arms emerging from the mouth have been switched. Structurally, this makes no difference, since the original **KOJ** could simply be rotated 180° around the mouth axis to coincide with the new shape. However, if we place a texture on the surface that exhibits directionality in the longitudinal direction, then the result is indeed in a different regular homotopy class. – Thus we have found the elusive #4 representative, as conjectured at the end of the previous section! Here is the analysis of the twistedness of the various characteristic ribbons in response to the added meridional graft: The meridional ribbons are not affected. But the longitudinal Möbius bands, which pass through the grafted collar exactly once, experience an extra twist of $\pm 360^\circ$ which reverses their chirality; the left twisting Möbius band becomes right-twisting, and vice versa. This can also be achieved in a different way: Place the grafted collar somewhere in the tubular part of the handle loop, and then get rid of it with the unwinding motion shown in Figures 23 and 24 of [20] (which is based on Dirac's Belt trick). This creates 360° of M-twist, which we eliminate by rotating the handle.

Meridional Grafts on $K8x-O$

We can also graft a fold onto a meridian of a Klein bottle with a figure-8 cross section. Such a fold travels on the outside for half the figure-8 and on the inside for the other half of the profile, but it closes smoothly onto itself. Each Möbius band – a characteristic line of this surface – crosses this fold exactly once and thus changes its twist by 360° , which reverses its twistedness. Other longitudinal lines pass the grafted folds twice and thus do not change their twistedness – which happens to be zero. Also, the meridional bands are not affected by an M-graft. Thus, adding a figure-8 shaped meridional graft will turn **K8R-O** into **K8L-O** and vice versa. And when a Möbius band is turned into its mirror image, its chirality changes, but the double-looping longitudinal lines remain untwisted.

Let's check what happens when we simply reverse the directionality in the longitudinal direction on a textured Klein bottle of type **K8x-O**: With a 180° flip through 3D space we can negate the effect of such a reversal. But this rotation does not affect the twistedness of the structure. Thus it does not change the regular homotopy class of the Klein bottle.

Longitudinal and Diagonal Grafts

Now let's try a graft along a longitudinal line. First we pick a general longitude that runs twice around the Klein bottle loop, so that the grafted fold ends up on the same side that it started on and thus merges nicely with itself. It is hard to visualize such grafts on the Klein bottle itself. Therefore let's look at these grafting operations on the texture map of the Klein bottle.

In Figure 18a a meridional graft line is shown, and we can see that the center lines of the two Möbius bands, indicated with chains of black and white arrows respectively, cross this line exactly once; this causes them to flip their chirality, as already discussed above. Next, Figures 18b and 18c show longitudinal and diagonal graft lines in arbitrary positions. Every meridian crosses such a graft line twice and thus its twistedness is not affected. Neighboring longitudes also remain un-affected, and thus the regular homotopy class remains the same.

Klein-Bottle Grafts on KOJ

However, the longitudinal graft lines should really follow the characteristic lines represented by the centers of the Möbius bands, as shown in Figure 19a. The difficulty is that when we swing the graft loop once around such a Möbius line, it ends up on the other side of the surface and cannot readily close on itself. However, we can use the same trick that **KOJ** uses itself to let the tube close on its own backside. In the same way, we let the graft loop pass through a Klein bottle mouth inversion and then nicely close on itself. This is what happens in Figure 11. We can look upon Figure 11c as an ordinary **KOJ** Klein bottle with the B&W dashed line marking the center of its infinitely narrow, right-twisting Möbius band.

We now turn this line into a crease and then graft on it another Klein-bottle-graft (of type **ML**) to produce the shape in Figure 11b. Figure 19b shows the original, blue, left-twisting Möbius band (**ML**) of the **KOJ** bottle split open along this crease line; and Figure 19c shows the geometry of the intersection zone after this “**KbL**-graft.” Note that in this process the intersecting surface strips crossing along the graft line have merged into one another at the cross-over at the mouth of the Klein bottle and now form a single double-sided band. Figure 19c further analyzes the resulting graph of intersection lines and triple points. The black labels "1" through "4" mark the dominant vertical portions of this band. The red labels then mark various segments of the graph of intersection lines connecting the two triple points with the pair of ribbon parts involved. Meridians pass only once through this grafted loop and thereby change their twistedness by $\pm 360^\circ$, i.e. going from a circular band to a figure-8 band. This changes the regular homotopy class of this surface. The **KbL**-grafted **KOJ** bottle has transformed into type **K8L**.




Figure 18: Graft lines shown on the texture map: (a) meridional graft line, (b) a longitudinal graft line traveling around the loop twice; (c) a single diagonal graft line.




Figure 19: Klein-bottle-grafts along Möbius center lines: (a) Klein-bottle-graft line on texture map, (b) **K8L-JJ** cut open along the rim of its left-twisting Möbius band, ready to be grafted with a copy of itself; (c) the boundary zone of the merged Möbius ribbons and an analysis of the resulting graph of intersection lines and triple points.

10. Map of the Regular Homotopy Classes of Klein Bottles

With the insights gained in the previous sections, we are now ready to draw the complete map of the regular homotopy classes for Klein bottles as was done for tori last year [20]. Any possible Klein bottle, no matter how weird or how twisted, can be deformed by a regular homotopy into one of the four simple representatives depicted in Figure 20. In summary, we see that there are now three structural domains, one of which splits into two parts when the surface parameterization is taken into account.

For each case, a small picture shows my preferred representative for that class and next to it a symbolic representation of four characteristic ribbons on that surface. The red vertical stripe represents the meridional bands, and the horizontal stripes denote the center lines of the two Möbius bands as well as a general longitude ribbon that separates the two bands. The labels next to them tell whether that band is untwisted (O), or fully twisted (8), and whether a Möbius band is left-twisting (L) or right-twisting (R).




Figure 20: Complete map of the effects of re-parameterization on the different Klein bottle classes.

Outside the grey circles, there are some lines with double arrows, indicating various transformations that could be applied to a coordinate grid on the surface of the Klein bottle, and how this would affect the regular homotopy type of the transformed surface.

Regular homotopy transformations cannot perform simple mirroring operations; they cannot turn a left-twisting Möbius band into a right-twisting one. Thus we can immediately identify three different classes represented by: $\mathbf{K8L} = \mathbf{ML} + \mathbf{ML}$, $\mathbf{K8R} = \mathbf{MR} + \mathbf{MR}$, and by $\mathbf{KOJ} = \mathbf{ML} + \mathbf{MR}$. These three regular homotopy classes are thus structurally different; they do not depend on any markings of the surface. This exposes an important difference to the world of tori [19][20], where there are only two structural classes: one formed by the tori \mathbf{TOO} , $\mathbf{TO8}$, and $\mathbf{T8O}$, and the other one by $\mathbf{T88}$ by itself.

For **K8L** and **K8R** we have encountered several interesting geometrical realizations: the twisted figure-8 geometry of **K8L-O** (Fig.10c), the “inverted double sock” configuration **K8L-JJ** (Fig.11b), the Lawson minimum-energy bottle **K8L-Lawson** (Fig.12), and their respective mirror images. As the generic representatives for these classes, I prefer the twisted figure-8 geometry; it is structurally very simple and easy to reconstruct mentally.

For marked Klein bottles we expect to see one more disparate regular homotopy class. It has to be composed of two Möbius bands of opposite twistedness; thus structurally it belongs into the same class as **KOJ** and it can only be distinguished from the classical **KOJ** if we place some markings (e.g., a partly directional coordinate grid) on its surface, as discussed in Figure 17.

As discussed above, the addition of a meridional collar or, alternatively, the addition of 360° of meridional twist keeps the structure of the **KOJ** bottles the same, but changes the regular homotopy class for a marked surface. The meridional collar at the Klein bottle mouth reverses the directionality in the longitudinal direction. Undoing the M-twist created by a collar in the tubular handle by rotating the handle through 180° also involves a 50% M-shift applied to Figure 14e, which is equivalent of switching the color between the two Moebius bands. In both cases **K8L** bottle turns it into a type **K8R**, and vice versa. For a tube with a figure-8 profile adding a collar is not equivalent to adding a meridional Dehn twist; the latter leaves the **K8x** types unchanged. Also, a reversal of the longitudinal direction can readily be undone, by looking at a **K8x-O** toroid from the other side.

We also discussed what the operation of *surface eversion*, which – somewhat surprisingly – kept all tori types within their own regular homotopy classes, would do for Klein bottles. It also keeps all the bottles in the same class, but here it is much easier to accomplish: We simply need to shift the coordinate grid one full period in the longitudinal direction, and every point will have moved to the “opposite” side of the surface. On the other hand, the operation of *parameter swap*, which exchanges the roles of the meridional and longitudinal coordinate lines, is not possible for Klein bottles. All meridional lines always have an integral amount of full twists, while two of the longitudinal core curves exhibit the Möbius half-twists. No smooth continuous deformation can accomplish such a fundamental change.

11. Analyzing and Classifying Complex Bottles

Alan Bennet has constructed some elaborate glass sculptures, which are exhibited at the Science Museum in South Kensington, UK [1]. These models range from an “inverted sock” type with multiply looped (Fig.21a) or helically twisted (Fig.21b) handles to contraptions that exhibit multiple “cross-handles” (Fig.21c) or nest several Klein bottle shapes inside one another (Fig.21d). The latter are topologically no longer simple Klein bottles, since they may be of higher genus or they may form multiple, individual, but interpenetrating surfaces. Other interesting examples of Klein bottles can be found on the home page of Cliff Stoll [22]. All these examples serve as good study object to train one’s skills in determining the regular homotopy class of a particular glass sculpture.




Figure 21: Glass work by Alan Bennet exhibited at the Science Museum in South Kensington, UK.

Figure 20 gives us an idea how we can determine the type of such a fancy, twisted or knotted Klein bottle. For objects with no directional surface decorations, we only need to figure out into which structural class they belong.

First we make sure that the surface is indeed single-sided. For this purpose, we just need to find some arbitrary path that leads from a particular point on this surface to the “opposite side” at the same location. Next, we need to check that the surface indeed has Euler characteristic $\chi = V - E + F = 0$; i.e., that it is essentially a single, contorted, self-intersecting “tube”, possibly with some “inverted sock” turn-backs – but with no branching. If this “tube” cannot be readily identified, we would have to place a coarse graph of edge-connected vertices onto the surface and explicitly count the number of vertices (V), edges (E), and facets (F) in that mesh.

Once we have established that the surface has the topology of a Klein bottle, the next crucial step is to find a Möbius ribbon on that surface. This may be a little tricky on surfaces such as the ones shown in Figure 21a or Figure 24b. In those cases, we may want to trace out not just a single core curve, but accompany it by “parallel” side-lanes on either side. As we trace this “highway” over the surface until it joins itself again, we may find that all three lanes join themselves; this means we have traced out an orientable ribbon. We then need to continue our search until we find a “highway” loop in which the two side-lanes merge into each other, indicating a true Möbius ribbon. If we then “subtract” that ribbon from the surface, what is left over will form another Möbius band. We now determine the twistedness of both of these two Möbius bands, and this will define the regular homotopy structure of this Klein bottle as already pointed out in Section 10: $ML+ML \rightarrow K8L$; $MR+MR \rightarrow K8R$, and $ML+MR \rightarrow KOJ$.

To determine the twistedness of a space curve embedded in a complicated surface, I have had good success with the following method: Try to model in 3D space a thin ribbon made from stiff paper, so that it conforms to the Möbius band found on the surface in question (which may exist in the form of a 3D model or simply as a 2D rendering). When the paper strip has been shaped along the whole pathway of the ribbon, tape its two joining ends together, and then try to open it up into a circular loop in which the amount of twisting can readily be determined. In more complex cases, such as those depicted in Figures 22-24, the paper strip may actually be knotted. In this case you will have to cut and re-join segments of this strip to untangle the knot; make sure that you preserve the alignment of the ends of the paper strip near those temporary cuts, so that the built-in twist is not altered. The total amount of twist observed in this circular loop can then be reduced modulo 720° to fall into the interval $[-180^\circ, +360^\circ]$ to make classification easy.

It is quite possible that when we search for that first Möbius core curve, we might not stumble upon the most direct closure for such a curve and find instead a line that makes an additional meridional loop around the “handle” of the Klein bottle, thus following some “diagonal” line. This may impart an extra twist of 360° on such a ribbon and thus may reverse the chirality of that Möbius band. Would this not lead to a different classification? – No, it does not! This particular choice of a first Möbius band would also force the same extra meridional loop onto the second Möbius band. Thus in the case of a **KOJ** bottle, both Möbius bands would experience that extra twist and the resulting reversal of their chirality; thus the structural classification would remain unchanged. In the case of the **K8x** Klein bottles, the meridional band has a turning number of zero, and extra meridional detours will not add any twist to the Möbius bands; again, the classification remains unchanged.

12. Klein Knottles

Inspired by the creative bottle shapes shown above, I searched for other intriguing geometries that topologically are proper Klein bottles. Given my investigation of knotted shapes in the past [17] [18], it was natural for me to look for knotted varieties of Klein bottles; I call these geometries “Klein Knottles.” A simple way to enhance the visual complexity of a Klein bottle is to put more than one – but typically an

odd number – of “inverted sock” turn-backs in series (Fig.22a). All of these surfaces belong in class **KOJ**; pairs of subsequent turn-backs can always be created or eliminated by doing an inversion of the segment in between using the Cheritat eversion move [6], which is also illustrated in Figure 5 in [19]. Any chain of such turn-backs can readily be deformed into a knotted geometry, such as the simple trefoil shown in Figure 22b, since tube branches are allowed to slide through one another. Of course, any intermediate state in such a knotting process may also be the final target of an artistic representation of a Klein bottle, since there is no limit on the number of self-intersections allowed (Fig.22c).




Figure 22: Examples of Klein Knottles: (a) ring of five **KOJ**; (b) three **KOJ** forming a trefoil knot; (c) five **KOJ** forming an interpenetrating Knottle.




Figure 23: Klein Knottles with figure-8 cross sections: (a) **K8OR** wound into a trefoil knot; (b) six **K8J** turn-backs with suitable twist; (c) two **K8J** turn-backs with 90° twist each.

We can also form Knottles with figure-8 profiles. The toroidal Klein bottles of type **K8R-O** and **K8L-O** can readily be deformed into any knot desired (Fig.23a) – just as long as we make sure that the cross section makes an odd number of 180° flips overall, so that we obtain the inside/outside switch-over needed to make a Klein bottle. We can also form chains with multiple figure-8 turn-backs; each one of

them acts as an inside/outside switchover. However, the figure-8 profile gives us another degree of freedom. It allows us also to accomplish a surface reversal by giving the figure-8 tube a 180° flip – or an odd multiple thereof. These two mechanisms of surface reversals can be combined: We may use an even number of 180° flips together with an odd number of Klein bottle mouths, or, alternatively, an even number of mouths together with an odd number of flips. Figure 23c displays a very “compact” Klein bottle geometry, **K8R-ZZ**, with two Klein bottle mouths, combined with an overall twist of 180° . This twist is applied in two portions of 90° each, as the figure-8 profile travels once up and down a portion of the z -axis. In one of these two passes, the profile also morphs into its twin shape, in which the sizes of the two lobes are exchanged.

Figure 24 displays some physical models of these novel Klein bottles shapes. First there is a gridded version of the “inverted double sock” Klein bottle, **K8L-JJ**, already introduced in Figure 11b. This gridded, “semi-transparent” model allows a better inspection of how the figure-8 cross section turns inside out at the Klein bottle mouth and then morphs from one asymmetrical configuration to another one, in which the uneven sizes of the two lobes are reversed. Figure 24b provides a similar “transparent” look at the very “compact” Klein bottle geometry, **K8R-ZZ**, with two Klein bottle mouths, combined with an overall twist of 180° , introduced in Figure 23c.




Figure 24: Unusual, heavily self-intersecting Klein bottle models: (a) The left-turning **K8L-JJ**; (b) a right-turning Klein bottle with figure-8 profile and a zig-zag sweep path, **K8R-ZZ**.

13. Decomposition of Klein Bottles into Two Boy Caps

As mentioned in Section 3, any model of the projective plane with a disk removed is topologically equivalent to a Möbius band. In particular, when we remove a disk from *Boy's Surface*, we obtain a nice, smooth building block with 3-fold symmetry, which I will call a *Boy cap* (**Bc**), which can be used to form interesting novel Klein bottles with 3-fold rotational symmetry. This special Möbius band also comes in two enantiomorphic forms, denoted **BcL** and **BcR**, respectively. I have taken care of defining a geometry that results in a perfectly circular rim (Fig.25). Two such Boy caps can then be joined at their boundaries (resulting from the removal of a disk) with a rotational degree of freedom. Joining two Boy caps of equal chirality (Fig.25b) results in one of the figure-8 Klein bottles (Fig.25a):

$$\mathbf{BcL} + \mathbf{BcL} = \mathbf{K8L} \text{ and } \mathbf{BcR} + \mathbf{BcR} = \mathbf{K8R}.$$




Figure 25: (a) Two Boy caps (BcR) of the same chirality form a Klein bottle of type $K8R$; (b) the two Boy caps (BcR) separated to show the complimentary sense of texture flow at the two ends.

Joining Boy surfaces of opposite chirality (Fig.26b) yields a Klein bottle of the same structural type as the “inverted sock” model (Fig.26a): $BcL + BcR = KOJ$.




Figure 26: (a) Two Boy caps of opposite chirality form a Klein bottle of type KOJ ; (b) the two Boy caps (BcL , BcR) separated to show identical direction of texture flow into the triple point.




Figure 27: (a) Two Boy caps of opposite chirality (BcL , BcR) form a Klein bottle of type KOJ ; in this case the texture flow has been reversed with respect to Figure 26; this results in a reversed direction of texture flow at both triple points (b).

In these latter Klein bottles, the surface markings make a difference! We now employ the texture map shown in Figure 28a. If on the Klein bottle shown in Figure 25a the direction of texture flow, as given by the black, white, and gray arrows, is reversed, we will still observe these arrows to flow into one of the two triple points and out of the other one. We can thus simply flip the Klein bottle end-to-end and bring the new texture flow again in alignment with the original one. On the other hand, in the Klein bottle depicted in Figure 26 the texture flows into the triple points at both ends. If the texture flow is reversed, it then flows out of the triple points at both ends, and there is no smooth transformation that brings these two geometries into alignment. Figures 26 and 27 thus depict two representatives of different regular homotopy classes, corresponding to the two classes in the upper half of Figure 20. Figure 28b provides a look into the internal structure of a Klein bottle of type **KOJ** with an overall glide symmetry of S_6 composed of two enantiomorphics Boy caps.




Figure 28: (a) Texture map used in the above models. (b) A gridded model of a Klein bottle (**KOJ**) composed from two enantiomorphic Boy caps (**BcL**, **BcR**).

14. Conclusions

Klein bottles are fascinating geometric objects. Most people are familiar with only the “inverted sock” type (**KOJ**). Many mathematical texts also refer to “the other” Klein bottle – the twisted figure-8 shape – which actually comes in two different chiral versions (**K8L-O**, **K8R-O**). Only a few papers or web pages also present Lawson’s minimum-energy Klein bottle, which also comes in two chiral forms that belong to the same two regular homotopy classes. But there is also the possibility of forming turn-backs with a figure-8 profile and I have not seen this one depicted beforehand (Fig.11).

Of course, there are infinitely many possible geometries that constitute Klein bottles, i.e., single-sided surfaces with Euler characteristic zero. Even with marked surfaces, all of these can be smoothly deformed into one of the four representatives presented in this paper. I have presented two untwisted, marked Klein bottles to distinguish the two versions of the “inverted sock” type, consisting of two Möbius bands of opposite handedness.

Finding the most elegant transformation that will actually accomplish the reduction of an arbitrary Klein bottle into one of these four representatives is a much harder task; it deserves more study. In the meantime the reader may simply enjoy the beautiful glass models created by Alan Bennet and Cliff Stoll, as well as the wild “Klein Knottles” introduced in the last part of the paper.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my thanks to Dan Asimov, Tom Banchoff, Matthias Goerner, Rob Kusner, and John Sullivan for stimulating discussions and various illuminating e-mail exchanges.

This work is supported in part by the National Science Foundation: NSF award #CMMI-1029662 (EDI).

References

- [1] A. Bennet, *Surface Model*. – <http://www.sciencemuseum.org.uk/objects/mathematics/1996-544.aspx>
- [2] M. Bill, *Endless Ribbon (1935)*. In *Mathematics Calendar*. Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [3] W. Boy, *Über die Curvatura integra und die Topologie geschlossener Flächen*. Math. Ann **57**, pp.151-184, 1903.
- [4] *Boy Surface at Oberwolfach*. – <http://www.mfo.de/about-the-institute/history/Boy-Surface>
- [5] R. Bryant and R.Kusner, *Animated Boy Surface*. – <http://www.indiana.edu/~minimal/archive/NonOrientable/NonOrientable/Bryant-anim/web/index.html>
- [6] A. Cheritat, *The torus inside out*. – http://www.mat.univ-toulouse.fr/~cheritat/lab/e_lano.html
- [7] *Dehn Twist*. – http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dehn_twist
- [8] M. C. Escher, *Möbius Band, I (1961)*. In *The Graphic Work of M. C. Escher*. MacDonald, London 1967.
- [9] M. C. Escher, *Möbius Band, II (1963)*. In *The Graphic Work of M. C. Escher*. MacDonald, London 1967.
- [10] J. Hass and J. Hughes, *Immersion of Surfaces in 3-Manifolds*. Topology, Vol 24, No.1, pp 97-112, 1985.
- [11] R. Kusner, *Comparison Surfaces for the Willmore problem*. Pacific J. Math. Vol.138, No.2, pp 317-345, 1989.
- [12] H. B. Lawson, *Complete minimal surfaces in S^3* . Ann. of Math., Vol. 92, pp. 335-374, 1970.
- [13] D. Lerner and D. Asimov, *The Sudanese Mobius Band*. SIGGRAPH Electronic Theatre, 1984.
- [14] *Möbius Wedding Band*. – <http://scn.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:M%C3%B6biusWeddingBand.JPG>
- [15] K. Polthier, *Imaging math: Inside the Klein bottle*. – <http://plus.maths.org/issue26/features/mathart/index.html#LawsonKlein>
- [16] C. H. Séquin, *Twisted Prismatic Klein Bottles*. The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol.87, No.4, pp 269-277, April 1980.
- [17] C. H. Séquin, *Tangled Knots*, Proceedings of "Art+Math=X" Intl. Conf., Boulder CO, June 2005, pp 161-165.
- [18] C. H. Séquin, *Knotty Sculptures*. Knotting Math and Art, USF, Tampa, November 2007.
- [19] C. H. Séquin, *Tori Story*. Bridges Conf. Proc., pp 121-130, Coimbra, Portugal, July 27-31, 2011.
- [20] C. H. Séquin, *Torus Immersions and Transformations*. UCB Tech Report (EECS-2011-83).
- [21] Steiner's *Roman surface*. – <http://www.geom.uiuc.edu/zoo/toptype/pplane/roman/>
- [22] C. Stoll, *ACME Klein Bottles*. Home page. – <http://www.kleinbottle.com/index.htm>
- [23] *Whitney's Umbrella*. – <http://www.geom.uiuc.edu/zoo/features/whitney/>
- [24] T. J. Willmore, *Note on embedded surfaces*. An. Stiint. Univ. "AI. I. Cusd" Iasi Sect.I, a Mat. Vol.11, pp 443-496, (1965).